



ANSWER BOOK

Diagonalisation and the Cayley–Hamilton theorem

Further Pure 2 · Year FM

6 questions · 23 marks

NAVY EDITION

SECTION A · Warm-up

- A1** Put the eigenvectors in as the columns of P , so P has rows $(1, 1)$ and $(1, -2)$. [2]
Then D is diagonal with the matching eigenvalues in the same order, **5** then **2**. B1 for P , B1 for D in the matching order. Swapping the columns of P works provided the entries of D are swapped with them. Mismatching the order is the standard error, and it costs both marks.
- A2** Every square matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation. Here $\det(M - \lambda I) =$ [3]
 $(4 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 2 = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 10$, so the claim is $M^2 - 7M + 10I = 0$.
 M^2 has rows $(18, 7)$ and $(14, 11)$, $7M$ has rows $(28, 7)$ and $(14, 21)$, and $10I$ has 10 on the diagonal. Then $M^2 - 7M + 10I$ has entries $18 - 28 + 10$, $7 - 7$, $14 - 14$ and $11 - 21 + 10$, all zero, **as** required. B1 for the statement of the theorem, M1 for forming $M^2 - 7M + 10I$, A1 for the zero matrix. Verifying means reaching the zero matrix; quoting the characteristic equation alone is one mark.

SECTION B · Standard

- B1** Take P with rows $(1, 1)$ and $(1, -2)$ and D diagonal with 5 and 2, so that $M = PDP^{-1}$ [5]
and $M^5 = PD^5P^{-1}$. D^5 is diagonal with entries **3125** and **32**; only the diagonal entries are ever raised to a power.
Since $\det P = -3$, P^{-1} is $(-1/3)$ times the matrix with rows $(-2, -1)$ and $(-1, 1)$.
Multiplying the three matrices in the order P , then D^5 , then P^{-1} gives M^5 with rows **(2094, 1031)** and **(2062, 1063)**. M1 for using M raised to a power as P times D to that power times P^{-1} , A1 for 3125 and 32, M1 for P^{-1} , M1 for the triple product, A1 for the four entries. Reversing P and P^{-1} gives a different matrix and loses the accuracy marks, since matrix multiplication does not commute.
- B2** The characteristic equation is $\lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 10 = 0$, so $M^2 - 7M + 10I = 0$. Multiply [4]
throughout by M^{-1} : $M - 7I + 10M^{-1} = 0$, so $M^{-1} = (7I - M)/10$. That is one tenth of the matrix with rows $(3, -1)$ and $(-2, 4)$. M1 for multiplying the relation through by M^{-1} , A1 for $M^{-1} = (7I - M)/10$, M1 for evaluating $7I - M$, A1 for the entries. Checking, M times that matrix is $10I$, so dividing by 10 gives the identity. The method needs no cofactors.
- B3** Take the matrix with rows $(1, 1)$ and $(0, 1)$. Its characteristic equation is $(1 - \lambda)^2 =$ [3]
 0 , so 1 is a repeated eigenvalue, but solving $(M - I)v = 0$ gives only multiples of $(1, 0)$.
With one independent eigenvector there is no invertible P of eigenvectors, so no diagonalisation exists. B1 for a suitable matrix, M1 for solving for the eigenvectors, A1 for only one independent eigenvector, so no invertible P . A repeated eigenvalue is a warning rather than a verdict, since some repeated cases still supply two independent eigenvectors.

SECTION C · Stretch

- C1** Cayley-Hamilton gives $M^2 = 7M - 10I$, so every power collapses onto M and I , [6]
 and $M^n = a_n M + b_n I$ for some numbers a_n and b_n .
 To find them, use the eigenvalues. If $Mv = \lambda v$ then $M^n v = \lambda^n v$, and applying the relation to that same eigenvector gives $\lambda^n = a_n \lambda + b_n$. Both eigenvalues obey it, so $5a_n + b_n = 5^n$ and $2a_n + b_n = 2^n$.
 Subtracting, $3a_n = 5^n - 2^n$, which is the given a_n , and back-substituting gives $b_n = (5 \cdot 2^n - 2 \cdot 5^n)/3$. Setting up the pair of equations from the two eigenvalues is where the method marks sit; grinding out M^3, M^4, M^5 one at a time reaches the same place far more slowly.
 At $n = 6$: $a = (15625 - 64)/3 = 5187$ and $b = (320 - 31250)/3 = -10310$, so $M^6 = 5187M - 10310I$, with rows **(10438, 5187)** and **(10374, 5251)**. B1 for $M^2 = 7M - 10I$, M1 for applying the relation to an eigenvector, A1 for the pair of simultaneous equations, A1 for b_n , M1 for substituting $n = 6$, A1 for the entries of M^6 .
 A check at $n = 2$ gives $a = 7$ and $b = -10$, which is the Cayley-Hamilton relation itself.